

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 41, 82, 85, 87, 89, 92, and 98 are amended and claims 1-13, 41-50, 82-92, 98-101, and 103 remain in the application for consideration. In view of the following remarks, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and  
5 allowance of the subject application.

### **Interview Summary**

Applicant's attorney, Chris Culberson, wishes to thank Examiner Rachna Singh Desai for discussing this application over the phone on February 20, 2009.

10 During this discussion, Applicant's attorney and Examiner Desai discussed two issues from the Office Action of July 28, 2008. First, the § 112, second paragraph, rejections of claims 1-13, 41-50, 82-92, 98-101, and 103 were discussed. Without conceding the propriety of the rejections, Applicant's attorney proposed amendments to the claims. In view of the proposed amendments, the  
15 Examiner agreed that the § 112 rejections were no longer necessary.

Second, the § 103(a) rejections of the independent claims were discussed. Without conceding the propriety of the rejections, Applicant's attorney proposed amendments to the independent claims. In view of the proposed amendments, the Examiner agreed that the § 103 rejections were obviated and that a new search  
20 would be required.

In light of these discussions, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner contact Applicant's attorney to discuss this application before issuing any subsequent rejections.

### **§ 112 Rejections**

25 During the Examiner Interview, the § 112, second paragraph, rejections of claims 1-13, 41-50, 82-92, 98-101, and 103 were discussed. The Examiner agreed that, in light of the amendments to the independent claims, the § 112 rejections